

V.Ostrik

# **TENSOR IDEALS IN THE CATEGORY OF TILTING MODULES.**

## 1. INTRODUCTION.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a complex finite dimensional simple Lie algebra with the root datum  $(Y, X, \dots)$ , see [L2]. Let  $W_f$  denote the Weyl group,  $R$  denote the root system,  $R_+$  denote the set of positive roots. Let  $X_+$  denote the set of dominant integral weights. Let  $h$  denote the Coxeter number of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Let us fix  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $l > h$ . We assume that  $l$  is odd (and not divisible by 3, if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $G_2$ ). Let  $W$  denote the corresponding affine Weyl group.

Let  $\rho \in X$  denote the halfsum of positive roots. We will denote by dot (for example  $w \cdot \lambda$ ) the action of  $W$  (and  $W_f \subset W$ ) centered in  $(-\rho)$ .

Let  $q$  be a primitive  $l$ -th root of unity and let  $U_q$  be the quantum group with divided powers as defined in [L2]. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the category of finite dimensional  $U_q$ -modules of type **1** (see e.g. [APW]).

In [A] H.Andersen has studied a tensor subcategory  $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{C}$  formed by *tilting* modules. He has introduced a tensor ideal  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{Q}$  formed by negligible tilting modules. The quotient tensor category  $\mathcal{Q}/\mathcal{K}$  is semisimple. For certain values of  $l$  it is tensor-equivalent to a category of integrable modules over affine Lie algebra  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  equipped with a *fusion* tensor structure (see e.g. [F]).

Let us recall the definition of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Indecomposable tilting modules are numbered by their highest weights  $\lambda \in X_+$ ; we will denote them by  $Q(\lambda)$ . The set of dominant weights  $X_+$  is covered by the closed *alcoves* numbered by  $W^f \subset W$  — the set of shortest elements in the right cosets  $W/W_f$ . For  $w \in W^f$  the corresponding closed alcove will be denoted by  $\overline{C}_w$ . For example, the alcove  $\overline{C}_e = \overline{C}$  containing the zero weight is given by

$$\overline{C} = \{\lambda \in X | 0 \leq \langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle \leq l \text{ for all } \alpha \in R_+\}.$$

Now  $\mathcal{K}$  is formed by the direct sums of tiltings  $Q(\lambda)$ , where  $\lambda$  is dominant and  $\lambda \in \bigcup_{w \neq e} \overline{C}_w$ .

---

*Date:* November 1996.

This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Civilian Research and Development Foundation under Award No. RM1-265.

In this note we propose the following generalization of H.Andersen's result. We recall that G.Lusztig and N.Xi have introduced a partition of  $W^f$  into *canonical right cells* along with the *right order*  $\leq_R$  on the set of cells, see [L1] and [LX]. In particular,  $\{e\} \subset W^f$  forms a single right cell, maximal with respect to  $\leq_R$ . Thus  $W^f - \{e\} = \coprod_{A <_R \{e\}} A$  — the union of right cells.

**Main Theorem.** *Let  $A \subset W^f$  be a right cell. The full subcategory  $\mathcal{Q}_{\leq A}$  formed by the direct sums of tiltings  $Q(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda \in \bigcup_{w \in B \leq_R A} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_w$ , is a tensor ideal in  $\mathcal{Q}$ .*

There is a well-known correspondence between the right cells in  $W$  and the right ideals in the affine Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  (see [KL1]). Our result is completely parallel to this correspondence, and even the proof is. In fact, the proof is an application of a deep result by W.Soergel who has connected the characters of  $Q(\lambda)$  with Kazhdan-Lusztig-type combinatorics of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

In general, the right cells in  $W^f$  are infinite, but some are finite, e.g.  $\{e\} \subset W^f$ . The first nontrivial example is a "subregular" cell  $D_1$  for  $\mathfrak{g}$  of type  $G_2$  (see the pictures and notations in [L1]) consisting of 8 alcoves. Then the subcategory  $\mathcal{Q}_{< D_1}$  formed by the direct sums of  $Q(\lambda)$  such that  $\lambda \in \bigcup_{w \in B <_R D_1} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_w$  is a tensor ideal, and we can consider the quotient subcategory  $\mathcal{Q}/\mathcal{Q}_{< D_1}$  with finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects. This subcategory is non-semisimple, as opposed to Andersen's fusion category  $\mathcal{Q}/\mathcal{K}$ . For example, when  $l = 7$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}/\mathcal{K}$  is equivalent to  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces, while  $\mathcal{Q}/\mathcal{Q}_{< D_1}$  has 24 isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects. Its Grothendieck ring is a 24-dimensional algebra with nontrivial nilpotent radical, as opposed to the classical fusion rings which are always semisimple. To our knowledge, this is a first example of a nonsemisimple tensor category without fiber functor with finitely many indecomposable objects.

As we already mentioned, for certain values of  $l$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}/\mathcal{K}$  is tensor equivalent to a category of integrable  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules of positive central charge. It is a subcategory of a larger category  $\mathcal{O}$  of all  $\mathfrak{g}$ -integrable  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules of positive central charge, but the Kazhdan-Lusztig construction of fusion tensor structure in this larger category encounters serious problems (see [KL2]). Still we believe that the quotient categories like  $\mathcal{Q}/\mathcal{Q}_{< D_1}$  are closely related to the would-be fusion structure on  $\mathcal{O}$ .

The idea of this note is essentially due to J.Humphreys : it was he who suggested the important role played by the right cells in the study of tilting modules [H]. I learnt of his ideas from M.Finkelberg. I am grateful to Catharina Stroppel for her beautiful patterns of tilting characters for  $G_2$  which provided a further insight into the connection between right cells and tilting modules. Thanks are also due to

D.Timashov who acquainted me with LIE package; it was very useful for me at the first stage of my work. I am indebted to H.H.Andersen and J.Humphreys for the valuable suggestions which improved the exposition. Finally, I would like to thank the referee for extremely useful comments which simplified the original proof drastically.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES.

2.1. For any  $\lambda \in \overline{C}$  let  $\mathcal{C}(\lambda)$  denote a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$  consisting of modules whose composition factors have highest weights in  $W \cdot \lambda$ . The category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a direct sum of the subcategories  $\mathcal{C}(\lambda)$  (linkage principle; see e.g. [APW], §8)

$$\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \overline{C}} \mathcal{C}(\lambda).$$

For any  $\lambda \in X_+$  one defines Weyl module  $V(\lambda)$  and module  $H^0(\lambda)$  (see [A] §1). Then the irreducible module  $L(\lambda)$  is the socle of  $H^0(\lambda)$  as well as the head of  $V(\lambda)$ .

2.2. Let  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  be the group algebra of abelian group  $X$ . It is generated by elements  $e^\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \in X$ , with relations  $e^{\lambda_1} \cdot e^{\lambda_2} = e^{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \forall \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in X$ . There is a natural action of  $W_f$  on  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  given by the formula  $we^\lambda = e^{w\lambda}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} := \mathbb{Z}[X]^{W_f}$  be the invariants of this action. It is a subalgebra of  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ .

Let  $ch : K(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be the map associating to a module  $M \in \mathcal{C}$  its character  $ch(M)$ . It is known that its image is  $\mathcal{A}$ . Moreover the elements  $ch([V(\lambda)])$  where  $\lambda$  runs through  $X_+$  form a basis of  $\mathcal{A}$ . It is known that  $ch([V(\lambda)]) = ch([H^0(\lambda)])$  is given by the Weyl character formula (see e.g. [APW] §8):

$$ch([V(\lambda)]) = \frac{\sum_{w \in W_f} (-1)^{l(w)} e^{w \cdot \lambda}}{\sum_{w \in W_f} (-1)^{l(w)} e^{w \cdot 0}}.$$

Now for any  $\lambda \in X$  let

$$ch(\lambda) = \frac{\sum_{w \in W_f} (-1)^{l(w)} e^{w \cdot \lambda}}{\sum_{w \in W_f} (-1)^{l(w)} e^{w \cdot 0}}.$$

**Lemma.** (i) If stabilizer (in  $W_f$ ) of  $\lambda$  with respect to dot action is nontrivial then  $ch(\lambda) = 0$ .

(ii) Suppose the stabilizer of  $\lambda$  is trivial and let  $w \in W_f$  be such that  $w \cdot \lambda \in X_+$ . Then  $ch(\lambda) = (-1)^{l(w)} ch(w \cdot \lambda)$ .

**Proof.** Clear.  $\square$

2.3. Let  $W \rightarrow W_f$ ,  $w \mapsto \bar{w}$  be the standard homomorphism with the kernel consisting of translations.

**Lemma.** *For any  $\lambda, \mu \in X$  and  $w \in W$  we have  $w(\lambda + \mu) = w\lambda + \bar{w}\mu$  and  $w \cdot (\lambda + \mu) = w \cdot \lambda + \bar{w}\mu$ .*

**Proof.** The first identity is obviously true for  $w \in W_f \subset W$  and for translations. Since  $W$  is a semidirect product of  $W_f$  and the subgroup of translations we get our result. The second identity is a simple consequence of the first one.  $\square$

2.4. **Lemma.** (see e.g. [D] 2.2.3) *Let  $P$  be a multiset (set with multiplicities) of weights invariant under  $W_f$  action. Then for any  $\lambda \in X$  we have*

$$\left(\sum_{\omega \in P} e^\omega\right) ch(\lambda) = \sum_{\omega \in P} ch(\lambda + \omega)$$

**Proof.** Straightforward computation.  $\square$

2.5. A filtration of  $U_q$ -module is called *Weyl filtration* (respectively *good filtration*) if all the associated factors are Weyl modules (respectively modules  $H^0(\lambda)$ ).

2.6. **Definition** (see [A], definition 2.4) *A tilting module is a module  $M \in \mathcal{C}$  which has both a Weyl filtration and a good filtration.*

Let  $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{C}$  be a full subcategory formed by all tilting modules. The main properties of this category are collected in the following (see [A] §2)

- Theorem.** (i) *The category  $\mathcal{Q}$  is closed under tensor multiplication.*  
(ii) *Any tilting module is a sum of indecomposable tilting modules.*  
(iii) *For each  $\lambda \in X^+$  there exists an indecomposable tilting module  $Q(\lambda)$  with highest weight  $\lambda$ .*  
(iv) *The modules  $Q(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda \in X^+$ , form a complete set of nonisomorphic indecomposable tilting modules*  
(v) *A tilting module is determined up to isomorphism by its character.*

Let  $\mathcal{Q}(\lambda)$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{Q}$  consisting of modules contained in  $\mathcal{C}(\lambda)$ . Then obviously

$$\mathcal{Q} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{Q}(\lambda).$$

2.7. For any  $\lambda, \mu \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}$  one defines the translation functor  $T_\lambda^\mu : \mathcal{C}(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mu)$  (see e.g. [APW] §8). The following Proposition is proved as in [J], II, 7.13.

**2.7.1. Proposition.** *Suppose  $\lambda, \mu \in \overline{C}$  and  $w \in W$  is such that  $w \cdot \lambda \in X_+$ . Then  $T_\lambda^\mu V(w \cdot \lambda)$  has a filtration with the associated factors  $V(\nu)$  such that  $\nu \in X_+$  and  $\nu = ww_1 \cdot \mu$  with  $w_1 \in \text{Stab}(\lambda)$ . Each one of the above factors occurs exactly once.*

In particular it follows that translation functors preserve the category  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

**2.7.2. Corollary.** *For any  $w \in W$  such that  $w \cdot \lambda \in X_+$  the module  $T_\lambda^0 T_0^\lambda V(w \cdot 0)$  has a filtration with associated factors  $V(wx \cdot 0)$  with  $x \in \text{Stab}(\lambda)$ .*

**Proof.** Evident.  $\square$

### 3. CONSTRUCTION OF TENSOR IDEALS.

**3.1.** Recall that  $W$  denotes the affine Weyl group and  $W_f$  denotes the ordinary Weyl group. Let  $W^f$  denote the set of minimal length representatives of right cosets. The multiplication defines a bijection  $W_f \times W^f \rightarrow W$ . Let  $\mathfrak{L}$  be the sign representation of  $W_f$ . We will consider it as right  $W_f$ -module. Let us define a right  $W$ -module  $\mathcal{N}^1 := \mathfrak{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[W_f]} \mathbb{Z}[W]$ . As  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module it is isomorphic to a free abelian group with generators numbered by  $W^f$ . Let  $N_x^1 = 1 \otimes x$  for any  $x \in W^f$ . These elements form a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\mathcal{N}^1$ . For any  $s \in S$  we have  $N_x^1 s = N_{xs}^1$  if  $xs \in W^f$  and  $N_x^1 s = -N_x^1$  otherwise.

**3.2.** Let  $K(\mathcal{C})$  denote the Grothendieck group of the category  $\mathcal{C}$ . For any  $\lambda \in \overline{C}$  define the map  $\alpha_\lambda : K(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^1$  by  $\alpha_\lambda([V(\mu)]) = 1 \otimes (\sum_{x \in W, x \cdot \lambda = \mu} x)$ . In particular  $\alpha_\lambda$  annihilates every object outside of the block  $\mathcal{C}(\lambda)$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**3.2.1.** Let us identify  $K(\mathcal{C})$  with the character ring  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Lemma.** *For any  $w \in W$  we have*

$$\alpha_\lambda(ch(w \cdot \lambda)) = |\text{Stab}(\lambda)|^{-1} 1 \otimes \left( \sum_{x \in \text{Stab}(\lambda)} wx \right)$$

**Proof.** For  $w \in W$  such that  $w \cdot \lambda \in X_+$  the Lemma is clear from definitions. For other  $w$  use 2.2.  $\square$

**3.2.2. Lemma.** *For any  $\lambda \in \overline{C}$  and  $V \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda)$  we have*

$$\alpha_\lambda(V) = \alpha_0(T_\lambda^0 V)$$

**Proof.** Obvious.  $\square$

3.2.3. **Lemma.** For any  $\lambda \in \overline{C}$  and  $V \in \mathcal{C}(0)$  we have

$$\alpha_0(T_\lambda^0 T_0^\lambda V) = \alpha_0(V) \sum_{x \in \text{Stab}(\lambda)} x$$

**Proof.** It is enough to verify the Lemma for  $V = V(w \cdot 0)$ . Now if  $w \cdot \lambda \in X_+$  the result follows from 2.7.2; if  $w \cdot \lambda \notin X_+$  then RHS and LHS both vanish.  $\square$

3.3. **Proposition.** For any  $\lambda, \mu \in \overline{C}$  and  $M \in \mathcal{C}$  there exists  $c(M) = c_{\lambda\mu}(M) \in \mathbb{Z}[W]$  such that for all  $V \in C(\lambda)$  we have

$$\alpha_\mu(V \otimes M) = \alpha_\lambda(V) c(M).$$

**Proof.** (see also [J], II, 7.5) It is enough to check the claim on the level of characters; moreover we can suppose that  $ch(V) = ch(w \cdot \lambda)$ .

Let  $P(M)$  be a multiset of weights of module  $M$ . It is invariant under  $W_f$ -action. We have by 2.4 and 2.3

$$ch(V(w \cdot \lambda) \otimes M) = \sum_{\omega \in P(M)} ch(w \cdot \lambda + \omega) = \sum_{\omega \in P(M)} ch(w \cdot (\lambda + \omega))$$

Now let us define a multiset  $W_{\lambda\mu}(M) := \{x \in W \mid \lambda + \omega = x \cdot \mu; \omega \in P(M)\}$ . It is easy to see that  $W_{\lambda\mu}(M)$  is invariant under left multiplication by elements of  $\text{Stab}(\lambda)$  and right multiplication by elements of  $\text{Stab}(\mu)$ . So  $W_{\lambda\mu}(M)$  is a union of left and right cosets; let  $W_{\lambda\mu}(M)'$  be a set of representatives of right cosets. We claim that we can choose  $c_{\lambda\mu}(M) = \sum_{z \in W_{\lambda\mu}(M)'} z$ .

Indeed, let  $P_{\lambda\mu}(M) := \{\omega \in P(M) \mid \lambda + \omega \in W \cdot \mu\}$ . For any  $\omega \in P_{\lambda\mu}(M)$  let  $w(\omega)$  be any element of  $W$  such that  $w(\omega)^{-1} \cdot (\lambda + \omega) = \mu$ . It is evident that  $\{w(\omega)\}$  is the set of representatives of left cosets in  $W_{\lambda\mu}(M)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\mu(V \otimes M) &= \alpha_\mu(ch(w \cdot \lambda) ch(M)) = \alpha_\mu\left(\sum_{\omega \in P(M)} ch(w \cdot (\lambda + \omega))\right) = \\ &= \alpha_\mu\left(\sum_{\omega \in P_{\lambda\mu}(M)} ch(w \cdot (\lambda + \omega))\right) = \alpha_\mu\left(\sum_{\omega \in P_{\lambda\mu}(M)} ch(ww(\omega) \cdot \mu)\right) = \\ &= \sum_{x \in \text{Stab}(\mu)} \sum_{\omega \in P_{\lambda\mu}(M)} 1 \otimes ww(\omega)x = \sum_{t \in W_{\lambda\mu}(M)} 1 \otimes wt = \\ &= \sum_{y \in \text{Stab}(\lambda)} \sum_{z \in W_{\lambda\mu}(M)'} 1 \otimes yz = \alpha_\lambda(ch(w \cdot \lambda)) \sum_{z \in W_{\lambda\mu}(M)'} z \end{aligned}$$

The Proposition is proved.  $\square$

3.4. **Definition.** A subcategory  $\mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C}$  is called a weak tensor ideal if for any  $V \in \mathcal{C}'$  and  $M \in \mathcal{C}$  we have  $V \otimes M \in \mathcal{C}'$ .

We define weak tensor ideals in any subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$  closed under tensor multiplication in the same way.

**Corollary.** If  $U \subset \mathcal{N}^1$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}[W]$ -submodule, then  $\mathcal{C}_U := \{V \in \mathcal{C} \mid \alpha_\lambda(V) \in U \ \forall \lambda \in \overline{C}\}$  is a weak tensor ideal of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_U := \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{C}_U$  is a weak tensor ideal of  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

**Proof.** Clear.  $\square$

#### 4. REALIZATION OF $K(\mathcal{Q}(0))$ AS A MODULE OVER HECKE ALGEBRA.

In this section we follow [S1].

4.1. Let  $l : W \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be the length function and let  $\leq$  be the standard Bruhat order on  $W$ . We will write  $x < y$  if  $x \leq y$  and  $x \neq y$ . Let  $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$  denote the ring of Laurent polynomials over  $\mathbb{Z}$  in variable  $v$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the Hecke algebra corresponding to  $(W, S)$

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{x \in W} \mathcal{L}T_x$$

with multiplication given by the rule:  $T_x T_y = T_{xy}$  if  $l(xy) = l(x) + l(y)$  and  $T_s^2 = v^{-2}T_e + (v^{-2} - 1)T_s$  for all  $s \in S$  (see [S1] §2).

Let  $H_x = v^{l(x)}T_x$  be a new basis of Hecke algebra. There exists unique involutive automorphism of Hecke algebra  $d : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, H \mapsto \overline{H}$  such that  $\overline{\overline{v}} = v^{-1}$  and  $\overline{H_x} = (H_{x^{-1}})^{-1}$ . We will call  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  selfdual if  $\overline{\overline{H}} = H$ .

The following theorem was proved by Kazhdan and Lusztig in [KL1].

**Theorem.** For any  $x \in W$  there exists unique selfdual  $\underline{H}_x \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\underline{H}_x \in H_x + \sum_{y < x} v\mathbb{Z}[v]H_y$ .

The coefficients of  $\underline{H}_x$  in the basis  $\{H_x\}$  are essentially Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials.

4.2. Let  $\mathcal{H}_f$  be the Hecke algebra corresponding to the group  $W_f$ . We have an obvious embedding  $\mathcal{H}_f \subset \mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}(-v)$  be a free right  $\mathcal{L}$ -module of rank 1 with the right action of  $\mathcal{H}_f$  given by the following rule: for any  $s \in S_f$  the element  $H_s$  acts as  $(-v)$ . We define a right  $\mathcal{H}$ -module  $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{L}(-v) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_f} \mathcal{H}$ . For any  $x \in W^f$  let us define  $\underline{N}_x := 1 \otimes \underline{H}_x \in \mathcal{N}$ . Let  $\beta : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^1$  denote the specialization map:  $v \mapsto 1$ . We define  $\underline{N}_x^1 := \beta(\underline{N}_x) \in \mathcal{N}^1$ .

4.3. The following statement was conjectured in [S1] (Vermutung 7.2) and then proved in [S2].

**Theorem.**  $\alpha(Q(x \cdot 0)) = \underline{N}_x^1$ .

4.4. We will say that an  $\mathbb{Z}[W]$ –submodule of  $\mathcal{N}^1$  is a *KL-submodule* if it admits a base consisting of elements  $\underline{N}_x^1$  for some subset of  $W^f$ .

## 5. RIGHT CELLS IN AFFINE WEYL GROUP.

5.1. In [KL1] Kazhdan and Lusztig defined three partitions of any Coxeter group into subsets called right, left and two-sided cells respectively. We refer the reader to *loc. cit.* for the definitions of preorders  $\leq_R, \leq_L, \leq_{LR}$  on Coxeter groups. The right (left, two-sided) cells are the classes of equivalence generated by preorder  $\leq_R$  (respectively  $\leq_R$  and  $\leq_{LR}$ ). Let  $w \in W$  and  $A$  be a right cell in  $W$ . We will write that  $w \leq_R A$  if  $w \leq_R w'$  for any  $w' \in A$  (and similarly for left and two-sided cells).

5.2. There is a correspondence between cells and ideals in the Hecke algebra. Namely, for any right (left or two-sided) cell  $A$  the  $\mathcal{L}$ –submodule  $I_{\leq A}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  generated by  $\underline{H}_w$ ,  $w \leq_R A$  (and similarly for left and two-sided cells) is a right (respectively left and two-sided) ideal of  $\mathcal{H}$  (see [KL1]). Moreover any KL-ideal (i.e. ideal admitting a base consisting of some elements  $\underline{H}_w$ ) is a sum of such ideals.

5.3. Let  $A$  be a two-sided cell of  $W$ . The main result of [LX] is the following

**Theorem.** *The intersection  $A \cap W^f$  forms a right cell of  $W$ .*

5.4. **Definition.** *A weak tensor ideal  $\tau \subset \mathcal{Q}$  is called a tensor ideal if for any  $Q_1, Q_2$  such that  $Q_1 \oplus Q_2 \in \tau$  we have  $Q_1, Q_2 \in \tau$ .*

For any two-sided cell  $A$  of  $W$  we define the full subcategory  $\mathcal{Q}_{\leq A}$  of  $\mathcal{Q}$  as follows:  $\mathcal{Q}_{\leq A}$  is the additive subcategory of  $\mathcal{Q}$  and indecomposable objects of  $\mathcal{Q}_{\leq A}$  are all the modules  $Q(w \cdot \lambda)$  where  $\lambda \in \overline{C}$ ,  $w \in W^f$  and  $w \leq_R A$ .

5.5. **Main Theorem.** *For any two-sided cell  $A$  of  $W$  the subcategory  $\mathcal{Q}_{\leq A}$  is a tensor ideal.*

**Proof.** For any two-sided cell  $A$  we define a  $\mathbb{Z}[W]$ –submodule  $U_{\leq A}$  of  $\mathcal{N}^1$  to be  $\mathcal{L} \otimes I_{\leq A \cap W^f}$ .

We will show that for any  $\lambda \in \overline{C}$   $\alpha_\lambda(Q(w \cdot \lambda)) \in U_{\leq A}$  if and only if  $\alpha_0(Q(w' \cdot 0)) \in U_{\leq A}$  where  $w'$  is the longest element of coset  $w \text{Stab}(\lambda)$ . We have

$$\alpha_\lambda(Q(w \cdot \lambda)) = |\text{Stab}(\lambda)|^{-1} \alpha_0(T_\lambda^0 Q(w \cdot \lambda))$$

Note that  $T_\lambda^0 Q(w \cdot \lambda)$  contains a direct summand  $Q(w' \cdot 0)$ . So we proved that  $\alpha_\lambda(Q(w \cdot \lambda)) \in U_{\leq A}$  implies that  $\alpha_0(Q(w' \cdot 0)) \in U_{\leq A}$ .



Now note that  $T_0^\lambda Q(w' \cdot 0)$  contains a direct summand  $Q(w' \cdot \lambda) = Q(w \cdot \lambda)$ . Further  $\alpha_0(T_\lambda^0 T_0^\lambda Q(w' \cdot 0)) = \alpha_0(Q(w' \cdot 0)) \sum_{x \in \text{Stab}(\lambda)} x \in U_{\leq A}$  by 3.2.3 and we proved our claim in another direction.

So the proof of theorem is finished.  $\square$

5.6. *Remark.* It is easy to see that theorem above establishes bijection between KL-submodules of  $\mathcal{N}^1$  and tensor ideals in  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Further note that all KL-submodules of  $\mathcal{N}^1$  are the sums of submodules  $U_{\leq A}$ . So we describe all tensor ideals in a category of tilting modules.

## REFERENCES

- [A] H.H.Andersen *Tensor products of quantized tilting modules*. Communications in Mathematical Physics 149 (1992), pp. 149-159.
- [APW] H.Andersen, P.Polo, K.Wen *Representations of quantum algebras*. Invent. Math. 104 (1991), pp.1-59.
- [D] S.Donkin *Rational representations of algebraic groups*. Lecture Notes in Math. vol 1140, Berlin Heidelberg, New York: Springer 1985.
- [F] M.Finkelberg *An equivalence of fusion categories*, Geometrical and Functional Analysis, vol.6, 2 (1996), pp. 249-267.
- [H] J.E. Humphreys, *Comparing modular representations of semisimple groups and their Lie algebras*, in volume dedicated to R.E. Block, Modular Interfaces: Modular Lie Algebras, Quantum Groups, and Lie Superalgebras, ed. V. Chari and I. Penkov, International Press, 1997.
- [J] J.C.Jantzen *Representations of Algebraic Groups*, Pure and Applied Mathematics 131, Academic Press, 1987.
- [KL1] D.Kazhdan, G.Lusztig *Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras* Inventiones math. 53 (1979), pp. 165-184.
- [KL2] D.Kazhdan, G.Lusztig *Tensor structures arising from affine Lie algebras I-IV*, J.Amer.Math.Soc. 6 (1993), pp. 905-1011 and J.Amer.Math.Soc. 7 (1994), pp. 335-453.
- [L1] G.Lusztig *Cells in affine Weyl groups.*, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics 6, 1985; Algebraic Groups and Related Topics pp. 255-287.
- [L2] G.Lusztig *Introduction to quantum groups.*, Boston, Birkhauser, 1993.
- [LX] G.Lusztig, N.Xi *Canonical left cells in affine Weyl groups*, Advances in Mathematics 72 (1988), pp. 284-288.
- [S1] W.Soergel *Kazhdan-Lusztig-Polynome und eine Kombinatorik für Kipp-Moduln.*, Representation Theory, 1 (1997).
- [S2] W.Soergel *Charakterformeln für Kipp-Moduln über Kac-Moody-Algebren.*, Preprint (1996), pp. 1-21.

INDEPENDENT MOSCOW UNIVERSITY, 11 BOLSHOJ VLASJEVSKIJ PER.,  
MOSCOW 121002 RUSSIA

*E-mail address:* ostrik@nw.math.msu.su